IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/532,931

Applicant : HAMPRECHT et al

Filed : April 28, 2005

TC/A.U. : 1624

Examiner : Ebenezer O. Sackey

Docket No. : 3165-121 Customer No. : 6449 Confirmation No.: 3101

REQUEST FOR COMPLETE OFFICE ACTION AND RESTART OF RESPONSE TERM

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A Restriction Requirement mailed July 2, 2008, has now been received in the above application. The Examiner has required restriction between Group I, II, IIII, VI and VII. Since the numbering of the Groups is not consecutive numbering, it is possible that Group IV and V were intended to be included by the Examiner but were inadvertently omitted. More importantly, the Examiner's statement as to why the Groups do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 is missing from the Office Action, and without knowledge of the Examiner's position counsel will have a difficult time in providing a meaningful traverse. It appears that the Examiner planned to provide reasons but for some reason the explanation was not included in the Office Action.

Accordingly, the mailing of a full and complete Office Action, and the resetting of the response date, is believed in order and is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Ву

Robert B. Murray Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 22,980 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK

1425 K. Street, Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 783-6040

RBM/cb